

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLN.(CONTEMPT PETITION) No 1477 of 1994

in

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATIONNo 14337 of 1993

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and
MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

1 to 5 - No

NATHABHAI VAJABHAI VADAR SABHAPATI

Versus

SHRI V.H.PADNAYA, MAMLATDAR

Appearance:

MR JAYANT PATEL for Petitioner
MR DN PATEL, Addl. Public Prosecutor for respondent

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and
MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

Date of decision: 11/03/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per: B.C.Patel,J.)

Heard Mr.Jayant Patel, learned advocate for the petitioner. It appears that that the petition has been

filed in view of the breach committed by the respondent, i.e. there is disobedience of an ad-interim order passed by the Court in Special Civil Application No.14337 of 1993. Appointment of administrator was stayed. It appears that, thereafter Special Civil Application has been dismissed against which, Letters Patent Appeal was preferred and that is also dismissed. Before about five years, elected body came to be elected.

2. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court would not like to exercise the powers, more particularly, by-election, as representatives have been elected.

3. Application stands disposed of. Rule discharged.

radhan/